arXiv:1509.09185v2 [math.CO] 23 Nov 2015

The automorphism group of the s-stable Kneser graphs*

Pablo Torres †

Abstract

For $k, s \geq 2$, the s-stable Kneser graphs are the graphs with vertex set the k-subsets S of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that the circular distance between any two elements in S is at least s and two vertices are adjacent if and only if the corresponding k-subset are disjoint. Braun showed that for $n \geq 2k+1$ the automorphism group of the 2-stable Kneser graphs (Schrijver graphs) is isomorphic to the dihedral group of order $2n$. In this paper we generalize this result by proving that for $s \geq 2$ and $n \geq sk+1$ the automorphism group of the s-stable Kneser graphs also is isomorphic to the dihedral group of order $2n$.

Keywords: Stable Kneser graph, Automorphism group.

1 Introduction

Given a graph G, $V(G)$, $E(G)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ denote its vertex set, edge set and authomorphism group, respectively. Let $[n] := \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, n\}$. For positive integers n and k such that $n \geq 2k$, the *Kneser graph* $KG(n,k)$ has as vertices the k-subsets of $[n]$ with edges defined by disjoint pairs of k-subsets. A subset $S \subseteq [n]$ is *s-stable* if any two of its elements are at least "at distance s apart" on the n-cycle, i.e. $s \leq |i-j| \leq n-s$ for distinct $i, j \in S$. For $s, k \geq 2$, we denote $[n]_s^k$ the family of s-stable k-subsets of $[n]$. The *s-stable Kneser graph* $KG(n,k)_{s-\mathrm{stab}}$ [6, 10] is the subgraph of $KG(n,k)$ induced by $[n]_s^k$.

In a celebrated result, Lovász [5] proved that the chromatic number of $KG(n,k)$, denoted $\chi(K(n,k))$, is equal to $n-2k+2$, verifying a conjecture due to M. Kneser [3]. After this result, Schrijver [7] proved that the chromatic number remains the same for $KG(n,k)_{2-\mathrm{stab}}$. Moreover, this author showed that $KG(n,k)_{2-\mathrm{stab}}$ is χ-critical. Due to these facts, the 2-stable Kneser graphs have been named *Schrijver graphs*. These results were the base for several papers devoted to Kneser graphs and stable Kneser graphs (see e.g. [1, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10]). In addition, it is well known that for $n \geq 2k+1$ the automorphism group of the Kneser graph $KG(n,k)$ is isomorphic to S_n, the symmetric group of order n (see [2] for a textbook account).

More recently, in 2010 Braun [1] proved that the automorphism group of the Schrijver graphs $KG(n,k)_{2-\mathrm{stab}}$ is isomorphic to the dihedral group of order $2n$, denoted D_{2n}. In this paper we generalize this result by proving that the automorphism group of the s-stable Kneser graphs is isomorphic to D_{2n} for $n \geq sk+1$.

Firstly, notice that if $n = sk$, the s-stable Kneser graph $KG(n,k)_{s-\mathrm{stab}}$ is isomorphic to the complete graph on s vertices and the automorphism group of $KG(n,k)_{s-\mathrm{stab}}$ is isomorphic to S_s.

*Partially supported by MathAmSud Project 13MATH-07 (Argentina–Brazil–Chile–France), pip-conicet 11220120100277 and PICT-2012-1324.

†Universidad Nacional de Rosario and CONICET, Argentina. e-mail: ptorres@fceia.unr.edu.ar

From the definitions we have that D_{2n} injects into $\mathrm{Aut}(KG(n,k)_{s-\mathrm{stab}})$, as D_{2n} acts on $KG(n,k)_{s-\mathrm{stab}}$ by acting on $[n]$. Then, we have the following fact.

Remark 1. *$D_{2n} \subseteq Aut(KG(n,k)_{s-stab})$.*

In the sequel, the arithmetic operations are taken *modulo* n on the set $[n]$ where n represents the 0. Let us recall an important result due to Talbot.

Theorem 1 (Theorem 3 in [8])**.** *Let n, s, k be positive integers such that $n \geq sk$ and $s \geq 3$. Then, every maximum independent set in $KG(n,k)_{s-stab}$ is of the form $\mathcal{I}_i = \{I \in [n]_s^k : i \in I\}$ for a fixed $i \in [n]$.*

For $n \geq sk+1$ we observe that $\{i, i+s, i+2s, \ldots, i+(k-1)s\}$ and $\{i, i+s+1, i+2s+1, \ldots, i+(k-1)s+1\}$ belong to $[n]_s^k$ for all $i \in [n]$. Then, we can easily obtain the following fact.

Remark 2. *Let $n \geq sk+1$ and $i, j \in [n]$. If $i \neq j$, then $\mathcal{I}_i \neq \mathcal{I}_j$.*

2 Automorphism group of $KG(n,k)_{s-\mathrm{stab}}$

This section is devoted to obtain the automorphism group of $KG(n,k)_{s-\mathrm{stab}}$. To this end, let us introduce the following graph family. Let n, s, k be positive integers such that $n \geq sk+1$. We define the graph $G(n,k,s)$ with vertex set $[n]$ and two vertices $i, j \in [n]$ are adjacent if and only if it does not exist $S \in [n]_s^k$ such that $\{i, j\} \subseteq S$. See examples in Figure 1.



Figure 1: Examples of graphs $G(n,k,s)$.

Two vertices i, j of $G(n,k,s)$ are *consecutive* if $i = j+1$. Let us see a direct result about consecutive vertices and dihedral groups, which we will use in the following theorem.

Remark 3. *An injective function $f : [n] \mapsto [n]$ sends consecutive vertices of $G(n,k,s)$ to consecutive vertices of $G(n,k,s)$ if and only if f belongs to the dihedral group D_{2n}.*

Next, we obtain the main result of this section that states the link between the automorphism groups of $KG(n,k)_{s-\mathrm{stab}}$ and $G(n,k,s)$.

Theorem 2. *Let n, s, k be positive integers such that $n \geq sk+1$ and $s \geq 3$. Then, the automorphism group of $KG(n,k)_{s-stab}$ is isomorphic to the automorphism group of $G(n,k,s)$.*

Proof. As we have mentioned, given $i \in [n]$, Theorem 1 guarantees that the sets $\mathcal{I}_i$ are the maximum independent sets in $KG(n,k)_{s-\text{stab}}$. Besides, any automorphism of $KG(n,k)_{s-\text{stab}}$ send maximum independent sets into maximum independent sets, i.e. for each $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(KG(n,k)_{s-\text{stab}})$ and $i \in [n]$, $\alpha(\mathcal{I}_i) = \mathcal{I}_j$ for some $j \in [n]$. From Remark 2, if $i \neq j$ then $\alpha(\mathcal{I}_i) \neq \alpha(\mathcal{I}_j)$ and so α permutes these independent sets. Hence we define the homomorphism ϕ from $\text{Aut}(KG(n,k)_{s-\text{stab}})$ to S_n such that

$$\phi(\alpha)(i) = j \Leftrightarrow \alpha(\mathcal{I}_i) = \mathcal{I}_j.$$

We will show that ϕ is injective and its image is $\text{Aut}(G(n,k,s))$.

Given a non-trivial element $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(KG(n,k)_{s-\text{stab}})$, there exists $S \in [n]_s^k$ such that $\alpha(S) \neq S$, i.e. there exists $j \in S$ such that $j \notin \alpha(S)$. It follows that $\alpha(S) \in \mathcal{I}_{\phi(\alpha)(j)}$, but $\alpha(S) \notin \mathcal{I}_j$, hence $\phi(\alpha)(j) \neq j$ and $\phi(\alpha)$ is non-trivial. Then, ϕ is injective.

Now, we first prove that $\text{Aut}(G(n,k,s)) \subseteq \phi(\text{Aut}(KG(n,k)_{s-\text{stab}}))$. For each $\beta \in \text{Aut}(G(n,k,s))$ we define the function $\gamma : V(KG(n,k)_{s-\text{stab}}) \mapsto V(KG(n,k)_{s-\text{stab}})$ such that for each $S = \{s_1, \ldots, s_k\} \in V(KG(n,k)_{s-\text{stab}})$, $\gamma(S) = \{\beta(s_1), \ldots, \beta(s_k)\}$.

Since S is a stable set of $G(n,k,s)$, $\gamma(S)$ is also a stable set of $G(n,k,s)$ and γ is well defined. It is not hard to see that γ is bijective. Furthermore, S and S' are adjacent in $KG(n,k)_{s-\text{stab}}$ if and only if $\gamma(S)$ and $\gamma(S')$ are adjacent in $KG(n,k)_{s-\text{stab}}$. Therefore $\gamma \in \text{Aut}(KG(n,k)_{s-\text{stab}})$ and from definition $\phi(\gamma) = \beta$.

Let us prove that $\phi(\text{Aut}(KG(n,k)_{s-\text{stab}})) \subseteq \text{Aut}(G(n,k,s))$, i.e. $\phi(\alpha)$ is an automorphism of $G(n,k,s)$ for each $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(KG(n,k)_{s-\text{stab}})$. Let $i,j \in [n]$, $i' = \phi(\alpha)(i)$ and $j' = \phi(\alpha)(j)$. If $ij \in E(G(n,k,s))$, since $\mathcal{I}_i \cap \mathcal{I}_j = \emptyset$ and α is injective, $\mathcal{I}_{i'} \cap \mathcal{I}_{j'} = \emptyset$, i.e. $\nexists\, S \in V(KG(n,k)_{s-\text{stab}})$ such that $\{i', j'\} \subseteq S$. Thus $i'j' \in E(G(n,k,s))$. Since $\phi(\alpha)$ is bijective, we conclude that $\phi(\alpha) \in \text{Aut}(G(n,k,s))$.

Therefore the image of ϕ is $\text{Aut}(G(n,k,s))$ and the proof is complete. □

This result allow us to obtain $\text{Aut}(KG(n,k)_{s-\text{stab}})$ from $\text{Aut}(G(n,k,s))$. Next section is devoted to analize the structure and the automorphism group of the graphs $G(n,k,s)$.

2.1 The automorphism group of $G(n,k,s)$.

Let G be a simple graph. For a vertex $v \in V(G)$, the *open neighborhood* of v in G is the set $N(v) = \{u \in V(G) : uv \in E(G)\}$. Then, the *closed neighborhood* of v in G is $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$. The *degree* of a vertex $v \in V(G)$ is $\deg(v) = |N(v)|$. For any positive integer d, we denote by G^d the *d-th power* of G, i.e. the graph with the same vertex set $V(G)$ and such that two vertices u, v are adjacent if and only if $\text{dist}_G(u,v) \leq d$, where $\text{dist}_G(u,v)$ is the *distance* between u and v in G, i.e. the length of the shortest path in G from u to v. We denote by C_n the *n-cycle graph* with vertex set $[n]$ and edge set $\{ij :\ i,j \in [n],\ j = i+1\}$.

Theorem 3. *Let n,s,k be positive integers such that $n \geq sk+1$ and $s \geq 3$. Then,*

1. *if $s(k+1)-1 \leq n$, then $G(n,k,s)$ is isomorphic to C_n^{s-1}, and*
2. *if $sk+1 \leq n \leq s(k+1)-2$. Then $G(n,k,s)$ is the graph on $[n]$ and edges defined as follows:*

$$ij \in E(G(n,k,s)) \Leftrightarrow i \neq j,\ |j-i| \notin \bigcup_{d=1}^{k-1} \{ds, ds+1, \ldots, ds+r\},$$

where $r = n - sk$.

Proof. From the symmetry of $G(n,k,s)$ (see Remark 1), to prove this result it is enough to obtain the open/closed neighborhood of vertex 1 in $G(n,k,s)$ for each case.

1. **Case** $s(k+1)-1 \leq n$: We have to prove that $[n] \setminus N[1] = \{s+1, \ldots, n-s+1\}$. By definitions, $i \in N(1)$ for every $i \in \{2, \ldots, s\} \cup \{n-s+2, \ldots, n\}$. We only need to prove that for all $i \in \{s+1, \ldots, n-s+1\}$ there exists $S_i \in [n]_s^k$ such that $\{1, i\} \subseteq S_i$. So, let $i \in \{s+1, \ldots, n-s+1\}$ and $t = \left\lfloor \frac{i-1}{s} \right\rfloor$.

   If $t \geq k-1$, let $S_i = \{1, 1+s, \ldots, 1+(k-2)s, i\}$. Then $S_i \in [n]_s^k$, since $s+1 \leq i \leq n-s+1$ and $i-(1+(k-2)s) \geq i-(1+(t-1)s) \geq i-(1+(\frac{i-1}{s}-1)s) = s$.

   If $t \leq k-2$, let $S_i = \{1, 1+s, \ldots, 1+(t-1)s, i, i+s, \ldots, i+(k-t-1)s\}$. To prove that $S_i \in [n]_s^k$ it is enough to show that $i-(1+(t-1)s) \geq s$ and $n-(i+(k-t-1)s) \geq s-1$. The first inequality trivially holds. To see the second inequality, notice that

$$i-(1+(t-1)s) = i-1+s-s\left\lfloor \frac{i-1}{s} \right\rfloor < i-1+s-s\left(\frac{i-1}{s}-1\right) = 2s.$$

   Then, $i-(1+(t-1)s) \leq 2s-1$. Therefore $n-(i+(k-t-1)s) = n-(i-1-(t-1)s+(k-2)s+1) = n-(i-(1+(t-1)s))-((k-2)s+1) \geq n-(2s-1)-((k-2)s+1) = n-2s+1-(k-2)s-1 = n-sk \geq s-1$.

2. **Case** $sk+1 \leq n \leq s(k+1)-2$: Let $F_d = \{1+ds, 1+ds+1, \ldots, 1+ds+r\}$ for $d \in [k-1]$ and $F = \bigcup_{d=1}^{k-1} F_d$. We will prove that $N[1] = [n]-F$, which implies that 1 and j are adjacent in $G(n,k,s)$ if and only if $j-1 \notin \bigcup_{d=1}^{k-1}\{ds, ds+1, \ldots, ds+r\}$, as required.

   Firstly, since $n-(r+1+(k-1)s) = s-1$, the set $S_p = \{1, p+s, p+2s, \ldots, p+(k-1)s\} \in [n]_s^k$ for all $p \in [r+1]$. Furthermore, $\{1\} \cup F = \bigcup_{p=1}^{r+1} S_p$ and then $N[1] \subseteq [n]-F$.

   To see the converse inclusion, observe first that if $h \in [s] \cup \{n-s+2, \ldots, n\}$ then $h \in N[1]$ from definition of $G(n,k,s)$.

   Hence, if $k=2$ we have finished.

   Now, let $k \geq 3$ (see Figure 2). We have that

$$[n] \setminus (F \cup [s] \cup \{n-s+2, \ldots, n\}) = \bigcup_{m=1}^{k-2} \{ms+2+r, \ldots, (m+1)s\}.$$

   Let $h \in \bigcup_{m=1}^{k-2}\{ms+2+r, \ldots, (m+1)s\}$. We will prove that it does not exist $S \in [n]_s^k$ such that $\{1, h\} \subseteq S$. Let W be an s-stable set of $[n]$ such that $\{1, h\} \subseteq W$. Notice that $|W \cap [h-1]| \leq \left\lfloor \frac{h-1}{s} \right\rfloor$ and

$$|W \cap \{h, \ldots, n\}| \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n-h+1}{s} \right\rfloor.$$

   Consider $m' \in [k-2]$ such that $h \in \{m's+2+r, \ldots, (m'+1)s\}$. Then,

   - $\left\lfloor \frac{h-1}{s} \right\rfloor \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(m'+1)s-1}{s} \right\rfloor = m'$.

- $\left\lfloor \frac{n-h+1}{s} \right\rfloor \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n-(m's+2+r)+1}{s} \right\rfloor \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n-r-1}{s} \right\rfloor - m' = \left\lfloor \frac{n-n+sk-1}{s} \right\rfloor - m' = k-1-m'$.

Thus, $|W| \leq \left\lfloor \frac{h-1}{s} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n-h+1}{s} \right\rfloor \leq k-1$. Therefore, any s-stable set of $[n]$ containing the set $\{1,h\}$ has cardinality at most $k-1$, i.e. it does not exist $S \in [n]_s^k$ such that $\{1,h\} \subseteq S$. Hence $h \in N[1]$ and the result follows.

□



Figure 2: Neighborhood of vertex 1 in $G(n,k,s)$.

In order to obtain $\mathrm{Aut}(G(n,k,s))$, let us recall a well known result on automorphism group (see, e.g. [9]).

Remark 4. *Let m and q be positive integers such that $m \geq 2q+3$. Then, the automorphism group of C_m^q is the dihedral group D_{2m}.*

Let x be the degree of the vertices in $G(n,k,s)$ (which is a regular graph). Then, we have the following result.

Theorem 4. *Let n,s,k be positive integers such that $n \geq sk+1$ and $s \geq 3$. Then, the automorphism group of $G(n,k,s)$ is the dihedral group D_{2n}.*

Proof. Firstly, observe that if $s(k+1)-1 \leq n$ the result immediately follows from Case 1 of Theorem 3 and Remark 4. Let us consider $sk+1 \leq n \leq s(k+1)-2$. From Remark 3 we only need to prove that any $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(G(n,k,s))$ sends consecutive vertices to consecutive vertices. Moreover, by Remark 1 and Theorem 2 it is enough to show that $\alpha(1)$ and $\alpha(2)$ are consecutive vertices. Without loss of generality we consider $\alpha(1)=1$.

Let $r = n - sk$. From Theorem 3,

$$N[2] \cap \{1+ds, \ldots, 1+ds+r\} = \{1+ds\}$$

for $d = 1, \ldots, k-1$, and

$$[n] \setminus N[1] = \bigcup_{d=1}^{k-1} \{1 + ds, 1 + ds + 1, \ldots, 1 + ds + r\}.$$

So,

$$|N[1] \cap N[2]| = x + 1 - (k-1) = x - k + 2.$$

Analogously, $|N[1] \cap N[n]| = x - k + 2$.

Let $i \in N(1)$. Recall that

$$N(1) = \{2, \ldots, s\} \cup \{n - s + 2, \ldots, n\} \cup \left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{k-2} \{ms + 2 + r, \ldots, (m+1)s\} \right).$$

If $i \in \{3, \ldots, s\}$ we have that $\{1 + s, 2 + s\} \subseteq N[i]$. Besides, if $k \geq 3$ observe that $\{i + 1 + (d-1)s + r, \ldots, i + ds - 1\} \subseteq N[i]$ for $d = 2, \ldots, k-1$. Hence, since $3 \leq i \leq s$, $1 + ds \leq i + ds - 1$ and $i+1+(d-1)s+r \leq 1+ds+r$. Therefore, $\{i+1+(d-1)s+r, \ldots, i+ds-1\} \cap \{1+ds, \ldots, 1+ds+r\} \neq \emptyset$ for $d = 2, \ldots, k-1$. Then, $|N[i] \cap \{1+s, \ldots, 1+s+r\}| \geq 2$ and $|N[i] \cap \{1+ds, \ldots, 1+ds+r\}| \geq 1$ for $d = 2, \ldots, k-1$. So, if $k \geq 2$, $|N[1] \cap N[i]| \leq x + 1 - k \leq x - 1$ and thus $\alpha(2) \neq i$. Similarly if $i \in \{n - s + 2, \ldots, n-1\}$, we have $\alpha(2) \neq i$. So, if $k = 2$ the result follows.

Now, let $k \geq 3$. Consider $i \in \bigcup_{m=1}^{k-2} \{ms + 2 + r, \ldots, (m+1)s\}$ and let $m_i \in \{1, \ldots, k-2\}$ such that $i \in \{m_i s + 2 + r, \ldots, (m_i + 1)s\}$.

Notice that

$$\{1+m_i s, \ldots, 1+m_i s+r\} \cup \{1+(m_i+1)s, \ldots, 1+(m_i+1)s+r\} \subseteq \{i-(s-1), \ldots, i+s-1\} \subseteq N[i]. \quad (1)$$

Therefore,

$$\{1 + m_i s, \ldots, 1 + m_i s + r\} \cup \{1 + (m_i + 1)s, \ldots, 1 + (m_i + 1)s + r\} \subseteq N[i] \setminus N[1].$$

Now, let $m \in [k-2]$. If $m < m_i$ then $1 + (m_i - m)s + r \leq i - (1 + ms) \leq (m_i + 1 - m)s - 1$. From Theorem 3, we have that $1 + ms \in N[i]$ if $m < m_i$. By a similar reasoning we have that $1 + ms + r \in N[i]$ if $m > m_i + 1$. Then,

$$\{1 + ms : \ m < m_i, \ m \in [k]\} \cup \{1 + ms + r : \ m > m_i + 1, \ m \in [k]\} \subseteq N[i] \setminus N[1].$$

These facts togheter with (1) imply that

$$|N[1] \cap N[i]| = x + 1 - (N[i] \setminus N[1]) \leq x + 1 - (2(r+1) + (k-4)) \leq x - k + 1.$$

Thus $\alpha(2) \neq i$. Therefore $\alpha(2) \in \{2, n\}$ and the thesis holds. □

Finally, we have the main result of this work.

Theorem 5. *Let n, s, k be positive integers such that $n \geq sk + 1$ and $s \geq 2$. Then, the automorphism group of $KG(n,k)_{s-stab}$ is isomorphic to the dihedral group D_{2n}.*

Proof. The result for the case $s = 2$ follows from [1] and for the remaining cases can be obtained from Theorems 2 and 4. □

3 Further results

In this section we will obtain some properties of s-stable Kneser graphs as a consequence of the results in the previous sections. Firstly, as a consequence of Theorem 5, we have the following result.

Theorem 6. *Let $n, k, s \geq 2$ with $n \geq sk+1$. Then, $KG(n,k)_{s-stab}$ is vertex transitive if and only if $n = sk+1$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that any vertex $S = \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_k\}$ of the s-stable Kneser graph $KG(n,k)_{s-\text{stab}}$ verifies that $s_1 < s_2 < \ldots < s_k$. Then, S is described unequivocally by s_1 and the gaps $l_1(S), \ldots, l_k(S)$ such that for $i \in [k-1]$, $l_i(S) = s_{i+1} - s_i$ and $l_k(S) = s_1 + n - s_k$. Observe that any automorphism of $KG(n,k)_{s-\text{stab}}$ "preserves" the gaps l_i, i.e. if $\phi \in \text{Aut}(KG(n,k)_{s-\text{stab}})$ there exist $\alpha \in D_{2k}$ such that $l_i(\phi(S)) = l_{\alpha(i)}(S)$ for all $i \in [k]$.

If $n \geq sk+2$, then $S_1 = \{1, 1+s, 1+2s, \ldots, 1+(k-1)s\} \in [n]_s^k$ and $S_2 = \{1, 2+s, 2+2s, \ldots, 2+(k-1)s\} \in [n]_s^k$. Therefore, from Theorem 5, we have that no automorphism of $KG(n,k)_{s-\text{stab}}$ maps S_1 to S_2, since $l_1(S_2) = s+1$ but $l_i(S_1) = s$ for $i \in [k-1]$ and $l_k(S_1) \geq s+2$.

Besides, in [9] it is proved that if $S \in [ks+1]_s^k$ then exactly one gap $l_m(S)$ is equal to $s+1$ and the remaining gaps are equal to s. From this fact we have that $KG(sk+1,k)_{s-\text{stab}}$ is vertex transitive. □

Next, we will analize some aspects related to colourings of s-stable Kneser graphs. Let $\alpha(G)$ and $\chi^*(G)$ the *independence number* and *fractional chromatic number* of a graph G, respectively.

Proposition 1. *Let $n, k, s \geq 2$ with $n \geq sk+1$. Then, $\chi^*(KG(n,k)_{s-stab}) = \frac{n}{k}$.*

Proof. It is immediate to observe that $\chi^*(KG(n,k)_{s-\text{stab}}) \leq \frac{n}{k}$ (see, e.g Theorem 7.4.5 in [2]). To see the converse inequality, we use the fact that for any graph G, $\chi^*(G) \geq \frac{|V(G)|}{\alpha(G)}$.

So, let us compute $|[n]_s^k| = |V(KG(n,k)_{s-\text{stab}})|$. From [8], since the sets $\mathcal{I}_i$ are maximum independent sets for $i \in [n]$, $\alpha(KG(n,k)_{s-\text{stab}}) = |\mathcal{I}_i| = \begin{pmatrix} n-(s-1)k-1 \\ k-1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Then, to compute $|[n]_s^k|$, let us observe that $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{I}_i = [n]_s^k$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n |\mathcal{I}_i| = n\begin{pmatrix} n-(s-1)k-1 \\ k-1 \end{pmatrix}$, where each vertex of $KG(n,k)_{s-\text{stab}}$ is computed k times. Then,

$$|[n]_s^k| = n\begin{pmatrix} n-(s-1)k-1 \\ k-1 \end{pmatrix} - (k-1)|[n]_s^k|.$$

Hence $|[n]_s^k| = \frac{n}{k}\begin{pmatrix} n-(s-1)k-1 \\ k-1 \end{pmatrix}$ and the result follows. □

As we have mentioned before, Schrijver [7] proved that the graphs $KG(n,k)_{2-\text{stab}}$ are χ-critical subgraphs of $KG(n,k)$ but it is an open problem to compute the chromatic number of s-stable Kneser graphs. From the last result and Proposition 2 in [6] we have

$$\frac{n}{k} \leq \chi(KG(n,k)_{s-\text{stab}}) \leq n-(k-1)s.$$

In particular, if $n = ks+1$ we obtain that $\chi(KG(ks+1,k)_{s-\text{stab}}) = s+1$, which is an alternative proof to compute the exact value of $\chi(KG(ks+1,k)_{s-\text{stab}})$ already studied in [6] and [9].

References

[1] B. Braun, Symmetries of the stable Kneser graphs, Advances in Applied Mathematics 45 (2010) 12–14.

[2] C. D. Godsil, G. Royle, Algebraic graph theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, 2001.

[3] M. Kneser, *Aufgabe 360*, Jahresbericht der Deutschen Mathematiker-Vereinigung, 2. Abteilung, vol. 50, 1955, pp. 27.

[4] B. Larose, F. Laviolette, C. Tardif, On normal Cayley graphs and Hom-idempotent graphs, European Journal of Combinatorics 19 (1998) 867–881.

[5] L. Lovász, Kneser's conjecture, chromatic number and homotopy, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A 25 (1978) 319–324.

[6] F. Meunier, The chromatic number of almost stable Kneser hypergraphs, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A 118 (2011) 1820–1828.

[7] A. Schrijver, Vertex-critical subgraphs of Kneser graphs, Nieuw Arch. Wiskd. (3) 26 (3) (1978) 454–461.

[8] J. Talbot, Intersecting families of separated sets, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 68 (1) (2003) 37–51.

[9] P. Torres, M. Valencia-Pabon, Stable Kneser Graphs are almost all not weakly Hom-Idempotent, submitted 2015. https://lipn.univ-paris13.fr/ valenciapabon/papers/hom-idemp-stables-v4.pdf.

[10] G. Ziegler, Generalized Kneser coloring theorems with combinatorial proofs, Invent. Math. 147 (2002) 671–691.